```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                   FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 2
 3
     STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel,
     W.A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his
 4
     capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL
     OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
 5
     et al.
 6
               Plaintiffs,
 7
                                            No. 05-CV-329-GKF-SAJ
     V.
 8
     TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,
 9.
               Defendants.
10
11
12
                    REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13
                             FEBRUARY 19, 2008
14
15
                       PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING
16
                                  VOLUME I
17
     BEFORE THE HONORABLE GREGORY K. FRIZZELL, Judge
18
19
20
     APPEARANCES:
     For the Plaintiffs: Mr. Drew Edmondson
21
                           Attorney General
                           Mr. Robert Nance
22
                           Mr. Daniel Lennington
                           Ms. Kelly Hunter Burch
23
                           Mr. Trevor Hammons
                           Assistant Attorneys General
24
                            313 N.E. 21st Street
                            Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105
25
```

Glen R. Dorrough UNITED STATES COURT REPORTER



1	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED)			
2	For the Plaintiffs:	Mr. David Riggs Mr. David P. Page		
3		Mr. Richard T. Garren Ms. Sharon Gentry		
4		Riggs Abney Neal Turpen Orbison & Lewis		
5		502 West 6th Street Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119		
6		Mr. Louis W. Bullock		
7.		Bullock Bullock & Blakemore 110 West 7th Street		
8		Suite 770 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119		
9		Mr. Frederick C. Baker		
10		Ms. Elizabeth Claire Xidis Motley Rice LLC		
11		28 Bridgeside P. O. Box 1792		
12		Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29465		
13	For the Tyson Foods Defendants:	Mr. Robert W. George Kutak Rock LLP		
14		The Three Sisters Building. 214 West Dickson Street		
15		Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701		
16		Mr. Jay T. Jorgensen Sidley Austin LLP		
17		1501 K Street NW Washington, D.C. 20005		
18		Mr. Patrick M. Ryan		
19		Ryan Whaley Coldron Shandy, PC 119 North Robinson, Suite 900		
20		Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102		
21	For the Cargill Defendants:	Mr. John H. Tucker Ms. Leslie Southerland		
22		Rhodes Hieronymus Jones Tucker & Gable		
23		100 West 5th Street Suite 400		
24		Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103		
25				

1	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED)		
2	For the Cargill Defendants:	Mr. Delmar R. Ehrich Mr. Bruce Jones	
3		Faegre & Benson 90 South 7th Street, Suite 2200	
4		Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	
5	For the Defendant Simmons Foods:	Mr. John Elrod Ms. Vicki Bronson	
6		Conner & Winters Attorneys at Law	
7		211 East Dickson Street Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701	
8.		-	
9	For the Defendant Peterson Farms:	Mr. A. Scott McDaniel Mr. Philip Hixon	,
10		Ms. Nicole Longwell McDaniel Hixon Longwell & Acord 320 South Boston, Suite 700	PLLC
11		Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103	
12	For the George's	Mr. Woodson Bassett Mr. James M. Graves	
13	Defendants:	Mr. Paul E. Thompson	
14		The Bassett Law Firm Post Office Box 3618	
15		Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701	
16	For the Cal-Maine Defendants:	Mr. Robert F. Sanders Young Williams P.A.	
17		P. O. Box 23059 Jackson, Mississippi 39225	
18			
19		CONTENTS	Page No.
20	OPENING STATEMENTS:		
21	By Mr. Edmondson 30		
22	By Mr. Ryan 42		
23	WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS:		
24	CANON MILES TOLBERT:		
25	Direct Examination by Mr. Edmondson 65		

being overapplied and are needed for plant growth.

2 THE COURT: Well, but here they're focusing on E. coli 3 and bacteria, not on phosphorus; correct?

MR. RYAN: I'm sorry, Your Honor?

THE COURT: In this proceeding are they not focusing on bacteria as opposed to phosphorus?

MR. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor. No, that's absolutely right, but we're talking about what the land needs and what's being overapplied.

THE COURT: Right, right.

MR. RYAN: I think their argument only goes to the phosphorus, to the one element of phosphorus. It does not address the other twelve elements which I say are needed for plant growth and are beneficial to the crops and plants and pastures and forage. And I don't think there's any question but that there has been an overapplication of litter on some or many farms. That's not an issue in our book. I'm certainly not arguing that in terms of phosphorus.

Your Honor, these are the defendants, there's 13 of them. They're in seven, if you will, if you disregard affiliated companies, there's seven companies. The plaintiffs want to treat us as if we were one homogenous group. And if they can show that the defendants, plural, apply bacteria somehow to the waterways and that makes all the defendants liable. These defendants are competitors of one another, Your